RECEIVED CLERK

Brent O. Hatch (5715) Mark F. James (5295)

HATCH, JAMES & DODGE

10 West Broadway, Suite 400

Salt Lake City, Utah 84101

Telephone: (801) 363-6363

Facsimile: (801) 363-6666

Stuart H. Singer (admitted pro hac vice) BOIES, SCHILLER & FLEXNER LLP 401 East Las Olas Boulevard – Suite 1200

Ft. Lauderdale, Florida 33301 Telephone: (954) 356-0011

Facsimile: (954) 356-0022

Attorneys for The SCO Group, Inc.

Robert Silver (admitted pro hac vice) 7: 30 Edward Normand (admitted pro hac vice) BOIES, SCHILLER & FLEXNER LLP 333 Main Street U.S. DISTRIBLE UTAH

Armonk, New York 108047 RIGI

Telephone: (914) 749-8200 Facsimile: (914) 749-8300

Stephen N. Zack (admitted pro hac vice) BOIES, SCHILLER & FLEXNER LLP Bank of America Tower – Suite 2800

100 Southeast Second Street

Miami, Florida 33131

Telephone: (305) 539-8400 Facsimile: (305) 539-1307

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF UTAH

THE SCO GROUP, INC.

Plaintiff/Counterclaim-Defendant,

v.

INTERNATIONAL BUSINESS MACHINES CORPORATION,

Defendant/Counterclaim-Plaintiff.

MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION OF NOVEMBER 29TH ORDER

Case No. 2:03CV0294DAK Honorable Dale A. Kimball Magistrate Judge Brooke C. Wells

Plaintiff, The SCO Group, Inc., by and through undersigned counsel, moves for reconsideration of this Court's Order dated November 29, 2006, which affirmed in total the Magistrate Judge's Order of June 28, 2006, wherein the Magistrate Judge struck 187 technology disclosures from the case. SCO respectfully submits that the rules of procedure do not support such a result under the circumstances of this case.

The grounds for this motion are new evidence previously unavailable, and the need to correct clear error or prevent manifest injustice. SCO respectfully requests that this Court reconsider the Order to allow for consideration of new evidence not in the original record and to prevent manifest injustice. The Magistrate Judge did not have, at the time she entered the Order striking SCO's claims, the benefit of the expert reports prepared in this action.

SCO also seeks leave to reopen four depositions of IBM programmers, each reopened deposition not to exceed two hours, for the purpose of exploring an evidentiary issue important to IBM's Motion.

Last, the December Submission did comply with the required level of specificity by providing a URL address on which numerous patches – all of which indicate file, version and line – at the Tab linked to Items 279 and 280.

For the foregoing reasons, SCO prays that this Court will reconsider its Order of November 29, 2006, and grant relief as requested above.

DATED this 13th day of December, 2006.

HATCH, JAMES & DODGE, P.C.

Brent O. Hatch

Mark F. James

BOIES, SCHILLER & FLEXNER LLP

Robert Silver

Stuart H. Singer

Stephen N. Zack

Edward Normand

sy Co

Counsel for The SCO Group, Inc.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Plaintiff/Counterclaim-Defendant, The SCO Group, Inc., hereby certifies that a true and correct copy of the foregoing **SCO's MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION** was served on Defendant/Counterclaim-Plaintiff, International Business Machines Corporation, on this 13th day of December, 2006, via electronic mail (by agreement of the parties) to the following:

David Marriott, Esq. (dmarrriott@cravath.com) Cravath, Swaine & Moore LLP Worldwide Plaza 825 Eighth Avenue New York, New York 10019

Todd Shaughnessy, Esq. (tshaugnessy@swlaw.com) Snell & Wilmer LLP 1200 Gateway Tower West 15 West South Temple Salt Lake City, Utah 84101-1004

_